

# #GoOpen Community

Repository Process and Selection

Repository must hold content

User can harvest content (plural)

Front end interoperable with any LMS system that an ISD/LEA uses

LTI Compliance

Permissioning for roles (district, state admin, etc.)

Will the platform allow for a pilot before allowing general access

Vetting tool within repository

Level search (primary, secondary, post secondary, informal)

Grade band search

Grade level search

Standards search

Standards search that includes Michigan standards for Social Studies, art, music, etc. (i.e. non Common Core standards)

Cross-cutting concepts search

Educational use search (curriculum/instruction, assessment, professional development, other)

Material type search (activity/lab, assessment, homework/assignment, student guide/syllabus, full course/lecture/lesson/module/unit of study, lesson plan, teaching strategy, diagram/illustration/game/interactive/simulation, case study/data set/lecture notes/primary source/reading/textbook)

Activity search (items with ratings, comments, tags)

Vetting search (Achieve OER/EQuIP rubric evaluation search)

Red = Non Negotiable

Yellow = Nice to Have

Green = Icing on the Cake

Primary user search (student, teacher, administrator, parent, other)

Media format (audio, braille, doc, eBook, graphics, interactive, mobile, text/HTML, video, other)

Conditions of Use search (No Strings Attached, Remix and Share, Share only)

Ability to rate others' items on a rating scale (5 star) in general

Ability to comment, provide feedback

Ability for each user to evaluate OER using rubric, cumulative ratings for vetting/alignment

Ability for ISD, LEA to have own micro repository

Can the repository accomodate standard updates

Multi Tiered data analytics reporting that breaks down use by State/District/School to help identify value of the tool going forward with a heirarcy of permissioned roles. Reporting should be tied to learning object not just log-ins

Objects that have a higher level of reliability in regard to quality (based on X number of reviews completed via rubric) are automagically recognized as Michigan #GoOpen Awesome

ADA Compliance

User Experience

Authoring tool


Red = Non Negotiable

Yellow = Nice to Have

Green = Icing on the Cake

# Data Repository Top 5

1. Robust Search Features
2. Ability to Upload and Host Documents
3. Vetting - Level of Granularity
4. Learning Registry Interface
5. Interoperability and analytics




# Process used

1. Development of criteria based on feedback from all workgroups.
2. Review of potential repositories.
3. Comparison of features with rubric (criteria)
4. Discussion with top two candidates
5. Final recommendation



# Top Two Contenders

Curriki



OER Commons



# And, the winner is... OER Commons



Based on ability to meet all five criteria, provide additional support, and experience working with other states in similar initiatives.